



MEDICAL POLICY STATEMENT

Original Effective Date	Next Annual Review Date	Last Review / Revision Date
01/27/2015	01/27/2017	02/11/2016
Policy Name	Policy Number	
Facet Neurotomy	MM-0009	
Policy Type		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medical	<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative	<input type="checkbox"/> Payment

Medical Policy Statements prepared by CSMG Co. and its affiliates (including CareSource) are derived from literature based on and supported by clinical guidelines, nationally recognized utilization and technology assessment guidelines, other medical management industry standards, and published MCO clinical policy guidelines. Medically necessary services include, but are not limited to, those health care services or supplies that are proper and necessary for the diagnosis or treatment of disease, illness, or injury and without which the patient can be expected to suffer prolonged, increased or new morbidity, impairment of function, dysfunction of a body organ or part, or significant pain and discomfort. These services meet the standards of good medical practice in the local area, are the lowest cost alternative, and are not provided mainly for the convenience of the member or provider. Medically necessary services also include those services defined in any Evidence of Coverage documents, Medical Policy Statements, Provider Manuals, Member Handbooks, and/or other policies and procedures.

Medical Policy Statements prepared by CSMG Co. and its affiliates (including CareSource) do not ensure an authorization or payment of services. Please refer to the plan contract (often referred to as the Evidence of Coverage) for the service(s) referenced in the Medical Policy Statement. If there is a conflict between the Medical Policy Statement and the plan contract (i.e., Evidence of Coverage), then the plan contract (i.e., Evidence of Coverage) will be the controlling document used to make the determination.

A. SUBJECT

Facet Neurotomy

B. BACKGROUND

After a successful diagnostic facet medial nerve block injection, available interventional options to help manage pain in selected patients may include a facet neurotomy which ablates the nerve.

A presumptive diagnosis of facet joint pain is made clinically. This may be confirmed by relief of pain through Diagnostic Medial Branch Nerve Block, an injection of local anesthetic to the medial branches of the posterior rami of the dorsal spinal nerves supplying the proposed facet joint(s).[1-3] In the therapeutic phase after positive diagnostic block, a successful radiofrequency facet neurotomy of the affected (same anatomic location of the positive diagnostic block) nerve may relieve pain for a period of months to a year or more until nerve regeneration occurs.[1, 4]

Interventional procedures for management of pain should be part of a comprehensive pain management care plan that incorporates an initial trial of conservative treatment utilizing appropriate medications, physical therapy modalities and behavioral support as needed. Interventional procedures for the management of pain unresponsive to conservative treatment should be provided only by physicians qualified to deliver these health services.[1, 5, 6]

C. DEFINITIONS

Radiofrequency neurotomy is performed using percutaneous introduction of an electrode under fluoroscopic guidance to thermocoagulate medial branches of the dorsal spinal nerves.

D. POLICY

Criteria

Evidence for **cervical spine facet neurotomy** is Level II-1 [7] (criteria as described by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality [AHRQ] and the US Preventative Services Task Force [USPSTF] [8, 9]). The average duration of pain relief greater than 50% from baseline is 7 to 9 months after initial cervical facet neurotomy. If indicated, repeat cervical facet neurotomy is



successful 67% to 95% of the time.[10] Evidence for **lumbar spine facet neurotomy** is Level II-2 with favorable results at less than 6 months post-procedure.[7] The average pain relief greater than 50% from baseline is 9 months after initial lumbar facet neurotomy. If indicated, repeat lumbar facet neurotomy is successful 33% to 85% of the time, with subsequent relief enduring for an average of 12 months.[10]

If requested a repeat neurotomy in the same spine region requires that 50% or greater relief by pain score is obtained for a minimum of 3 months after the prior neurotomy (maximum of 2 neurotomies per rolling 12 months). In the diagnostic phase, a patient receives injection of local anesthetic to identify the pain generator with an appropriate clinical response in reduction of pain and improvement in physical exam findings.[1, 11]

CareSource will consider a Facet Medial Branch Neurotomy medically necessary for treatment of sub-acute non-radiating pain that is unresponsive to a well-managed course of conservative therapy when the following criteria exist:

- A thorough history and physical exam documenting cause of the pain if known, duration of symptoms, severity, exacerbating factors, abnormal physical and diagnostic findings and prior conservative treatment measures.
- Documentation of associated medical and psychological disorders
- Diagnostic studies including x-rays and MRIs where appropriate that have confirmed the diagnosis of facet arthropathy or degenerative disease of the spine.
- Successful diagnostic facet medial branch nerve block for the same symptoms, signs, and anatomic location.

The evidence for cervical spine facet medial branch nerve block injections is fair.[12, 13] Available literature for thoracic spine facet medial branch nerve block injections shows Level II scientific evidence (criteria as described by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality [AHRQ] and the US Preventative Services Task Force [USPSTF] [8, 9]) for diagnostic accuracy in 3 studies with a total of less than 200 subjects. For therapeutic injections 3 reports exist with 76% to 90% achieving relief at 12 months, but without placebo controls.[14-16] Evidence is Level I or II-1 for diagnostic lumbar facet medial branch nerve block injections and [7, 17] and good for therapeutic lumbar facet medial branch nerve block injections in 11 randomized trials.[18, 19]

Prior to interventions, imaging studies rule out other causes of spinal pain (examples include herniated disc, spinal stenosis, fracture or tumor). These imaging studies are completed within the 12 months prior to the date of the request for interventions. The treating physician should also verify that the patient has no blood clotting defect, is not on blood thinner medication, and does not have any infection.

Facet neurotomy should be performed with imaging guidance. Image guidance and any injection of contrast are inclusive components of CPT codes 64490-64495. Monitored anesthesia care is not necessary for pain management injections and is not covered for payment when performed during these services. If chosen to be performed, anesthesiology providers and facilities must be on the CareSource provider panel. Selected patients requiring sedation may benefit from brief conscious sedation but this is not covered if a second provider is required to deliver conscious sedation.

Inconclusive or Non-Supportive Evidence

Published evidence for *thoracic spine facet neurotomy* is limited due to lack of literature. [16, 20] For thoracic spinal pain this limited evidence shows an incomplete assessment of net benefits and potential harms of thoracic facet neurotomy.



For sacroiliac spine pain, evidence is limited and only two studies are available, with pain relief at 6 months after neurotomy ranging for 32% to 67%. [21]

Intra-articular facet joint injection for neck and back pain has limited evidence and efficacy has not been established. Due to poor evidence for efficacy intra-articular facet joint injections are not covered as a medically necessary health service.[11, 22]

Clinical Indications for Procedure

- A. Facet neurotomy is indicated when **ALL of the following** criteria are met:
1. Spine pain is located in either the cervical or lumbar spine.
 2. Prior history in the past 24 months includes **1 or more of the following**:
 - 2.1 One or Two fluoroscopically-guided controlled local anesthetic blocks of facet injections achieved 50% or more relief at the same spinal region and vertebral location within 12 months prior to this request.
 - 2.2 Prior history of 1 successful single or multilevel facet neurotomy, each providing at least 6 months or more of pain relief in same region (e.g., cervical or lumbar region)
 3. The patient has received conservative treatment lasting for 3 months or longer within the past 24 months, including **2 or more of the following**:
 - 3.1 Physical therapy sessions
 - 3.2 Chiropractor visits
 - 3.3 Exercise program
 - 3.4 Medications for pain
 4. Relevant imaging studies of the painful spinal region were completed within the 24 months prior to the date of this request.

CONDITIONS OF COVERAGE

HCPCS None

CPT 64633, 64634, 64635, 64636, 0213T, 0214T, 0215T, 0216T, 0217T, 0218T

AUTHORIZATION PERIOD

E. RELATED POLICIES/RULES

F. REVIEW/REVISION HISTORY

Date Issued: 01/27/2105
Date Reviewed: 01/27/2015, 07/28/2015, 02/11/2016
Date Revised: 07/28/2015 – Criteria changes

G. REFERENCES

1. Manchikanti, L., et al., *An update of comprehensive evidence-based guidelines for interventional techniques in chronic spinal pain. Part II: guidance and recommendations.* Pain Physician, 2013. 16(2 Suppl): p. S49-283.
2. Manchikanti, L., et al., *Evidence-based medicine, systematic reviews, and guidelines in interventional pain management: part 3: systematic reviews and meta-analyses of randomized trials.* Pain physician, 2009. 12(1): p. 35-72.
3. Manchikanti, L., et al., *Comprehensive review of therapeutic interventions in managing chronic spinal pain.* Pain Physician, 2009. 12(4): p. E123-98.
4. Manchikanti, L., et al., *Comprehensive review of neurophysiologic basis and diagnostic interventions in managing chronic spinal pain.* Pain physician, 2009. 12(4): p. E71-120.
5. Chou, R., et al., *Interventional therapies, surgery, and interdisciplinary rehabilitation for low back pain: an evidence-based clinical practice guideline from the American Pain Society.* Spine, 2009. 34(10): p. 1066-1077.
6. Manchikanti, L., et al., *An update of comprehensive evidence-based guidelines for interventional techniques in chronic spinal pain. Part I: introduction and general considerations.* Pain Physician, 2013. 16(2 Suppl): p. S1-48.
7. Manchikanti, L., et al., *A critical review of the American Pain Society clinical practice guidelines for interventional techniques: part 2. Therapeutic interventions.* Pain physician,



2010. 13(4): p. E215-64.
8. West, S., et al., *Systems to rate the strength of scientific evidence*. Evid Rep Technol Assess (Summ), 2002(47): p. 1-11.
 9. Harris, R.P., et al., *Current methods of the US Preventive Services Task Force: a review of the process*. Am J Prev Med, 2001. 20(3 Suppl): p. 21-35.
 10. Smuck, M., et al., *Success of initial and repeated medial branch neurotomy for zygapophysial joint pain: a systematic review*. PM R, 2012. 4(9): p. 686-92.
 11. Falco, F.J., et al., *An updated review of the diagnostic utility of cervical facet joint injections*. Pain Physician, 2012. 15(6): p. E807-38.
 12. Falco, F.J., et al., *Systematic review of the therapeutic effectiveness of cervical facet joint interventions: an update*. Pain Physician, 2012. 15(6): p. E839-68.
 13. Manchikanti, L., et al., *Comparative outcomes of a 2-year follow-up of cervical medial branch blocks in management of chronic neck pain: a randomized, double-blind controlled trial*. Pain Physician, 2010. 13(5): p. 437-50.
 14. Manchikanti, L., et al., *Effectiveness of thoracic medial branch blocks in managing chronic pain: a preliminary report of a randomized, double-blind controlled trial*. Pain Physician, 2008. 11(4): p. 491-504.
 15. Manchikanti, L., et al., *Comparative effectiveness of a one-year follow-up of thoracic medial branch blocks in management of chronic thoracic pain: a randomized, double-blind active controlled trial*. Pain Physician, 2010. 13(6): p. 535-48.
 16. Manchikanti, L., et al., *The role of thoracic medial branch blocks in managing chronic mid and upper back pain: a randomized, double-blind, active-control trial with a 2-year followup*. Anesthesiol Res Pract, 2012. 2012: p. 585806.
 17. Falco, F.J., et al., *An update of the systematic assessment of the diagnostic accuracy of lumbar facet joint nerve blocks*. Pain Physician, 2012. 15(6): p. E869-907.
 18. Manchikanti, L., et al., *Evaluation of lumbar facet joint nerve blocks in managing chronic low back pain: a randomized, double-blind, controlled trial with a 2-year follow-up*. Int J Med Sci, 2010. 7(3): p. 124-35.
 19. Falco, F.J., et al., *An update of the effectiveness of therapeutic lumbar facet joint interventions*. Pain Physician, 2012. 15(6): p. E909-53.
 20. Manchikanti, K.N., et al., *An update of evaluation of therapeutic thoracic facet joint interventions*. Pain Physician, 2012. 15(4): p. E463-81.
 21. Hansen, H., et al., *A systematic evaluation of the therapeutic effectiveness of sacroiliac joint interventions*. Pain Physician, 2012. 15(3): p. E247-78.
 22. Datta, S., et al., *Systematic assessment of diagnostic accuracy and therapeutic utility of lumbar facet joint interventions*. Pain Physician, 2009. 12(2): p. 437-60.

This guideline contains custom content that has been modified from the standard care guidelines and has not been reviewed or approved by MCG Health, LLC.

The Medical Policy Statement detailed above has received due consideration as defined in the Medical Policy Statement Policy and is approved.