



MEDICAL POLICY STATEMENT

Georgia Medicaid

Policy Name & Number	Date Effective
Radiofrequency and Microwave Ablation of Tumors-GA MCD-MM-1350	07/01/2026
Policy Type	
MEDICAL	

Medical Policy Statement prepared by CareSource and its affiliates are derived from literature based on and supported by clinical guidelines, nationally recognized utilization and technology assessment guidelines, other medical management industry standards, and published MCO clinical policy guidelines. Medically necessary services include, but are not limited to, those health care services or supplies that are proper and necessary for the diagnosis or treatment of disease, illness, or injury and without which the patient can be expected to suffer prolonged, increased or new morbidity, impairment of function, dysfunction of a body organ or part, or significant pain and discomfort. These services meet the standards of good medical practice in the local area, are the lowest cost alternative, and are not provided mainly for the convenience of the member or provider. Medically necessary services also include those services defined in any Evidence of Coverage documents, Medical Policy Statements, Provider Manuals, Member Handbooks, and/or other policies and procedures.

Medical Policy Statements prepared by CareSource and its affiliates do not ensure an authorization or payment of services. Please refer to the plan contract (often referred to as the Evidence of Coverage) for the service(s) referenced in the Medical Policy Statement. If there is a conflict between the Medical Policy Statement and the plan contract (i.e., Evidence of Coverage), then the plan contract (i.e., Evidence of Coverage) will be the controlling document used to make the determination. According to the rules of Mental Health Parity Addiction Equity Act (MHPAEA), coverage for the diagnosis and treatment of a behavioral health disorder will not be subject to any limitations that are less favorable than the limitations that apply to medical conditions as covered under this policy.

Table of Contents

A. Subject	2
B. Background	2
C. Definitions	3
D. Policy	3
E. Conditions of Coverage	5
F. Related Policies/Rules	5
G. Review/Revision History	6
H. References	6

A. Subject**Radiofrequency and Microwave Ablation of Tumors****B. Background**

Radiofrequency and microwave ablation, both types of thermo-ablation, may be appropriate modalities to treat certain types of tumors. Radiofrequency ablation involves the delivery of high frequency alternating current to induce thermal injury of targeted tissue. Microwave ablation utilizes microwave energy to cause thermal coagulation and tissue necrosis at the targeted site. Evidence for the use of these ablative techniques is constantly evolving based tumor type, size, and location.

Hepatocellular carcinoma is the most common type of primary liver cancer. Treatment options include surgical excision, hepatic artery infusion chemotherapy, trans-arterial bland or chemoembolization, selective interstitial radiotherapy (Yttrium 90 microspheres), percutaneous ethanol injection, cryoablation, and thermo-ablation. Radiofrequency ablation and microwave ablation have demonstrated comparable results to other treatment options for smaller tumors.

Liver metastases are a common manifestation of many primary cancers. The number, location, size, and patient's general health determine the choice of treatment for liver metastases. While surgical resection with curative intent is ideal, this may not be possible in all patients. Non-surgical ablative techniques may be used for both curative and palliative intent, including systemic chemotherapy, targeted therapy, immunotherapy, external beam radiotherapy, cryoablation, thermo-ablation, arterial embolization techniques, and selective internal radiation therapy.

Lung cancer is one of the most common types of cancer as well as a common site of metastases. Since symptoms often do not appear until advanced disease, prognosis can be poor. Common treatments for primary or metastatic cancer in the lung include surgery, chemotherapy, radiotherapy, photodynamic therapy, thermal ablation, immunotherapy, and biological therapy. Treatment selection is based on type, size, position and stage of cancer, and the patient's overall health.

Small renal masses (SRMs) less than 4cm in size suspicious for clinical stage T1a renal cell carcinoma are the most common type of kidney tumor encountered. SRMs are often found incidentally with imaging for unrelated indications. Thermo-ablative techniques like RFA and MWA are gaining greater acceptance in clinical practice due to favorable outcomes observed in initial studies, low incidence of complications, lower cost, and ability to treat patients in the outpatient setting. Potential benefits of these techniques should be balanced against the lack of long-term follow-up data. For larger renal masses (T1b), several ablative procedures may be required to achieve the same results as other treatment techniques.

C. Definitions

- **Tumor Ablation** – Direct application of energy to eradicate or destroy focal tumors. The method of ablation is dependent on the characteristics of the lesion and risk mitigation.
 - **Microwave Ablation (MWA)** – Delivery of high-frequency microwave energy to rapidly agitate water molecules in the target tissue; the energy is converted to heat, which causes tissue necrosis.
 - **Radiofrequency Ablation (RFA)** – Delivery of radio waves to generate heat and induce tissue destruction in the targeted area.

D. Policy

- I. Microwave ablation for tumor treatment using an FDA-approved device is considered medically necessary when **ONE** of the following indications are met:
 - A. Member has primary or metastatic hepatic (liver) tumor and **ALL** the following:
 1. The tumor is unresectable due to location of lesion(s), OR the member has comorbid condition(s) that are contraindicative to surgery.
 2. The tumor is $\leq 5\text{cm}$ in size, OR there are no more than 3 nodules, all of which are $\leq 3\text{cm}$ in size.
 3. Microwave ablation may be used alone or in conjunction with open or minimally invasive resection of other liver tumors. Curative resection of all disease must be the stated goal of therapy.
 - B. Member has primary or metastatic lung tumor and **ALL** the following:
 1. The tumor is unresectable due to location of lesion(s), OR the member has comorbid condition(s) that are contraindicative to surgery.
 2. Single tumor is $\leq 3\text{cm}$ in size.
 - C. Member has T1 renal cell carcinoma and **ONE** of the following:
 1. Renal mass is $\leq 4\text{cm}$ in size and the member is not eligible for surgery or declines surgery.
 2. Renal mass is $> 4\text{cm}$ but $\leq 7\text{cm}$ and the member is not eligible for surgery.
- II. Microwave ablation is not covered for any other indication, including (but not limited to), the following:
 - A. Microwave ablation for any other tumor type is considered experimental and investigational due to a lack of clinical evidence on its efficacy.
 - B. Microwave ablation for tumors larger than 5cm or more than 3 nodules larger than 3cm is considered experimental and investigational due to a lack of clinical evidence on its efficacy compared to other treatment modalities.
- III. Radiofrequency ablation for tumor treatment is considered medically necessary for **ANY** of the following indications (NOTE: updates to MCG take precedence to the below criteria):
 - A. Barrett esophagus with dysplasia
 - B. bone metastases
 - C. hepatocellular carcinoma with **ALL** the following:
 1. Child-Pugh class A or B liver function (score of 9 or less)

The MEDICAL Policy Statement detailed above has received due consideration as defined in the MEDICAL Policy Statement Policy and is approved.

2. surgical evaluation indicates at least one of the following:
 - a. patient is a candidate for surgical resection following radiofrequency ablation
 - b. patient is a candidate for transplant following bridge therapy by radiofrequency ablation
 - c. patient is not a surgical candidate (or elects against surgery)
 - d. patient is not a transplant candidate
3. tumor has all the following:
 - a. location amenable to percutaneous, minimally invasive or open surgical ablation
 - b. margins accessible to ablation
 - c. not in close proximity to critical structures (eg, major vessels, major bile ducts, diaphragm, other intra-abdominal organs)
 - d. single tumor 5cm or smaller in diameter OR no more than 3 tumors, each of which is 3cm or smaller in diameter
4. no portal hypertension
- D. kidney tumor with **ALL** the following:
 1. clinical stage T1 renal lesion
 2. patient is not candidate for or elects against active surveillance
 3. patient is not a surgical candidate (or elects against surgery)
 4. tumor is not a renal angiomyolipoma
- E. liver metastases from colorectal carcinoma with **ALL** the following:
 1. patient is not an ideal surgical candidate (or elects against surgery)
 2. tumor has all the following:
 - a. location amenable to percutaneous or surgical ablation
 - b. margins accessible to ablation
 - c. not in close proximity to critical structures (eg, major vessels, major bile ducts, diaphragm, other intra-abdominal organs)
 - d. single tumor 5cm or smaller in diameter OR no more than 3 tumors, each of which is 3cm or smaller in diameter
 3. no extrahepatic disease
- F. lung cancer (non-small cell [NSCLC]) with **ALL** the following:
 1. patient is not a surgical candidate (or elects against surgery)
 2. tumor with **ALL** the following:
 - a. less than 3cm in diameter
 - b. node negative (stage I)
 - c. not in close proximity to major pulmonary vessels or esophagus
- G. osteoid osteoma
- H. soft tissue sarcoma with **at least ONE** of the following:
 1. gastrointestinal stromal tumor with limited progressive disease (ie, appearance of new lesion, increase in tumor size)
 2. soft tissue sarcoma of extremity, superficial trunk, or head/neck, as indicated by both:
 - a. synchronous stage IV disease

- b. need for treatment of tumor bulk limited to single organ that is amenable to local therapy, or palliation of disseminated metastases
 - I. thyroid cancer with **at least ONE** of the following:
 - 1. differentiated thyroid carcinoma (eg, follicular, papillary) with **at least ONE** of the following:
 - a. distant metastasis or persistent disease not amenable to treatment with radioactive iodine
 - b. recurrent disease following treatment of locoregional disease
 - 2. medullary carcinoma with **at least ONE** of the following:
 - a. palliative treatment of symptomatic metastases or progressive disease needed
 - b. patient asymptomatic, with **at least ONE** of the following:
 - 01. disease metastasis
 - 02. persistent disease following treatment of locoregional disease
 - 03. recurrent disease following treatment of locoregional disease
 - J. thyroid nodules, with **ALL** the following:
 - 1. compressive symptoms from nodules (eg, cough, dysphagia, foreign body sensation, pain, voice changes)
 - 2. patient not a surgical candidate (or elects against surgery)
 - K. uterine leiomyomas with **ALL** the following:
 - 1. laparoscopic ultrasound-guided procedure planned
 - 2. leiomyomas documented by imaging study (eg, ultrasound) or hysteroscopy
 - 3. patient desires uterine conservation or is not a surgical candidate
 - 4. patient is premenopausal
 - 5. persistent symptoms (3 months or greater in duration) directly attributed to presence of leiomyomas, as indicated by **at least ONE** of the following:
 - a. abnormal uterine bleeding unresponsive to conservative management (eg, hormonal therapy)
 - b. bowel dysfunction
 - c. dyspareunia
 - d. infertility
 - e. iron deficiency anemia
 - f. pelvic pain or pressure
 - g. urinary dysfunction
 - 6. testing has ruled out other potential causes of symptoms
- E. Conditions of Coverage
NA
- F. Related Policies/Rules
NA

The MEDICAL Policy Statement detailed above has received due consideration as defined in the MEDICAL Policy Statement Policy and is approved.

G. Review/Revision History

DATE		ACTION
Date Issued	10/12/2022	
Date Revised	09/27/2023 08/28/2024 06/18/2025 02/11/2026	Annual review: updated references, approved at Committee Review: updated references, approved at Committee Review: added indications for Barrett and thyroid nodules, updated references, approved at Committee. Review: expanded background, added renal MWA indications, approved at Committee.
Date Effective	07/01/2026	
Date Archived		

H. References

1. Aarts BM, Gomez FM, Lopez-Yurda M, et al. Safety and efficacy of RFA versus MWA for T1a renal cell carcinoma: a propensity score analysis. *Eur Radiol.* 2023;33(2):1049-1049. doi: 10.1007/s00330-022-09110-w.
2. Abdalla M, Collings AT, Dirks R, et al. Surgical approach to microwave and radiofrequency liver ablation for hepatocellular carcinoma and colorectal liver metastases less than 5cm: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Surg Endosc.* 2023;37(5):3340-3353. doi:10.1007/s00464-022-09815-5.
3. Cazzato RL, de Rubeis G, de Marini P, et al. Percutaneous microwave ablation of bone tumors: a systematic review. *Eur Radiol.* 2021;31(5):3530-3541. doi:10.1007/s00330-020-07382-8.
4. Chung SR, Suh CH, Baek JH, et al. Safety of radiofrequency ablation of benign thyroid nodules and recurrent thyroid cancers: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Hyperthermia.* 2017;33:920-930. doi:10.1080/02656736.2017.1337936.
5. Cui R, Yu J, Kuang M, et al. Microwave ablation versus other interventions for hepatocellular carcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Cancer Res Ther.* 2020;16(2):379-386. doi:10.4103/jcrt.JCRT_403_19
6. Curley SA, Stuart KE, Schwartz JM, et al. Localized hepatocellular carcinoma: liver-directed therapies for nonsurgical candidates who are eligible for local ablation. UpToDate. Updated April 17, 2025. Accessed January 23, 2026. www.uptodate.com
7. Dupuy DE. Image-guided ablation of lung tumors. UpToDate. Updated July 16, 2025. Accessed January 23, 2026. www.uptodate.com
8. Genshaft SJ, Suh RD, Abtin F, et al. Society of Interventional Radiology quality improvement standards on percutaneous ablation of non-small cell lung cancer and metastatic disease to the lungs. *J Vasc Interv Radiol.* 2021;32:1242.e1-1242.e10. doi:10.1016/j.jvir.2021.04.027
9. Glassberg MB, Ghosh S, Clymer JW, et al. Microwave ablation compared with hepatic resection for the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma and liver metastases: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *World J Surg Oncol.* 2019;17(1):98. doi:10.1186/s12957-019-1632-6

The MEDICAL Policy Statement detailed above has received due consideration as defined in the MEDICAL Policy Statement Policy and is approved.

10. Golden ED, Mutlu A, Knavel-Koepsel EM, et al. Microwave ablation for renal cell carcinoma: a literature review and clinical insights. *EMJ Radiol.* 2025;6(1):71-85. doi:10.33590-emjradiol/MQUZ3849
11. Han Y, Yan X, Zhi W, et al. Long-term outcome following microwave ablation of lung metastases from colorectal cancer. *Front Oncol.* 2022;12:943715. doi:10.3389/fonc.2022.943715
12. Hines A, Goldberg SN. Radiofrequency ablation, cryoablation, and other ablative techniques for renal cell carcinoma. UpToDate. Updated September 3, 2024. Accessed January 23, 2026. www.uptodate.com
13. Khandpur U, Haile B, Makary MS. Early-stage renal cell carcinoma locoregional therapies: current approaches and future directions. *Clin Med Insights Oncol.* 2024;18:18:11795549241285390. doi: 10.1177/11795549241285390
14. Matsui Y, Tomita K, Uka M, et al. Up-to-date evidence on image-guided thermal ablation for metastatic lung tumors: a review. *Jpn J Radiol.* 2022;40(10):1024-1034. doi:10.1007/s11603-022-01302-0.
15. McClure T, Lansing A, Ferko N, et al. A comparison of microwave ablation and cryoablation for the treatment of renal cell carcinoma: a systematic literature review and meta-analysis. *Urology.* 2023;180:1-8. doi:10.1016/j.urology.2023.06.001.
16. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Version 2.2025. Issued October 22, 2025. Accessed January 23, 2026. www.nccn.org
17. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. Kidney Cancer. Version 1.2026. Issued July 24, 2025. Accessed January 23, 2026. www.nccn.org
18. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. Version 3.2026. Issued December 24, 2025. Accessed January 23, 2026. www.nccn.org
19. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Microwave ablation for treating liver metastases [IPG553]. Published April 27, 2016. Accessed January 23, 2026. www.nice.org
20. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Microwave ablation of hepatocellular carcinoma [IPG214]. Published March 28, 2007. Accessed January 23, 2026. www.nice.org
21. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Microwave ablation for primary or metastatic cancer in the lung [IPG716]. Published February 2, 2022. Accessed January 23, 2026. www.nice.org
22. Nelson DB, Tam AL, Mitchell KG, et al. Local recurrence after microwave ablation of lung malignancies: a systematic review. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2019;107(6):1876-1883. doi:10.1016-j.athoracsur.2018.10.049
23. Palussiere J, Chomy F, Savina M, et al. Radiofrequency ablation of stage IA non-small cell lung cancer in patients ineligible for surgery: results of a prospective multicenter phase II trial. *J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2018;13(1):91. doi:10.1186/s13019-018-0773-y

The MEDICAL Policy Statement detailed above has received due consideration as defined in the MEDICAL Policy Statement Policy and is approved.

24. Pierorazio PM, Campbell SC. Clinical diagnosis and management of a small renal mass. UpToDate. Updated September 26, 2025. Accessed January 23, 2026. www.uptodate.com
25. Radiofrequency Ablation of Tumor. ACG: A-0718 (AC). 29th ed. MCG Health; 2025. Updated June 13, 2025. Accessed January 23, 2026. careweb.guidelines.com
26. Wang N, Xu J, Wang G, et al. Safety and efficacy of microwave ablation for lung cancer adjacent to the interlobar fissure. *Thorac Cancer*. 2022;13(18):2557-2565. doi:10.1111/1759-7714.14589
27. Wu X, Uhlig J, Blasberg JD, et al. Microwave ablation versus stereotactic body radiotherapy for stage I non-small cell lung cancer: a cost-effectiveness analysis. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 2022;33(8):964-971.e2. doi:10.1016/j.jvir.2022.04.019

Independent medical review – September 2022

GA-MED-P-5421850

Issue Date 10/12/2022

Approved DCH 04/28/2026

The MEDICAL Policy Statement detailed above has received due consideration as defined in the MEDICAL Policy Statement Policy and is approved.