

MEDICAL POLICY STATEMENT Arkansas PASSE

Policy Name & Number	Date Effective
Special Needs Car Seats-AR PASSE-MM-1437	06/01/2024-04/30/2025
Policy Type	
MEDICAL	

Medical Policy Statement prepared by CareSource and its affiliates are derived from literature based on and supported by clinical guidelines, nationally recognized utilization and technology assessment guidelines, other medical management industry standards, and published MCO clinical policy guidelines. Medically necessary services include, but are not limited to, those health care services or supplies that are proper and necessary for the diagnosis or treatment of disease, illness, or injury and without which the patient can be expected to suffer prolonged, increased or new morbidity, impairment of function, dysfunction of a body organ or part, or significant pain and discomfort. These services meet the standards of good medical practice in the local area, are the lowest cost alternative, and are not provided mainly for the convenience of the member or provider. Medically necessary services also include those services defined in any Evidence of Coverage documents, Medical Policy Statements, Provider Manuals, Member Handbooks, and/or other policies and procedures.

Medical Policy Statements prepared by CareSource and its affiliates do not ensure an authorization or payment of services. Please refer to the plan contract (often referred to as the Evidence of Coverage) for the service(s) referenced in the Medical Policy Statement. If there is a conflict between the Medical Policy Statement and the plan contract (i.e., Evidence of Coverage), then the plan contract (i.e., Evidence of Coverage) will be the controlling document used to make the determination. According to the rules of Mental Health Parity Addiction Equity Act (MHPAEA), coverage for the diagnosis and treatment of a behavioral health disorder will not be subject to any limitations that are less favorable than the limitations that apply to medical conditions as covered under this policy.

Table of Contents

A. Subject.....	2
B. Background.....	2
C. Definitions	2
D. Policy	3
E. Conditions of Coverage.....	4
F. Related Policies/Rules.....	4
G. Review/Revision History.....	4
H. References.....	4

A. Subject
Special Needs Car Seats

B. Background

The American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) states that all children should have access to proper resources for safe transportation, including children with specific functional needs. Safe transportation includes, not only the proper restraints, but also the correct positioning to secure the child in the vehicle. A standard car seat provides the best protection for most travel needs. However, additional research is needed for the biomechanics of test dummies representative of children with certain functional needs in crash testing so that such test dummies can be utilized by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA).

Currently, the Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS) Number 213 regulates the design and performance of child restraint systems for children weighing up to 80 pounds. However, children greater than 80 pounds may require car seat restraint, and several manufacturers have tested car seats beyond an 80-pound maximum. Once a child has outgrown a standard 5-point harness car seat, options include car seats specially designed for full support of a child's head, neck, and back while supporting up to 115 pounds. Conventional travel vests or specialized medical seating can be used for children who require additional trunk support but have stable neck control. Some older children with certain functional needs, including poor trunk control, can be transported in a special needs belt-positioning booster seat or a conventional belt-positioning booster with trunk support.

Data has shown that rear-facing car seats offer greater protection for the head and neck than a front-facing car seat, by reducing neck loading in vehicular crashes with a frontal component. Therefore, the AAP encourages use of a rear facing car seat for as long as possible for all children, but especially for children diagnosed with neurological condition(s), as a forward-facing car seat increases the risk of injury in a crash.

Recommendations by the AAP notes that car seats should be placed in the rear seat of a vehicle. However, it is noted that a child with certain functional needs requiring frequent monitoring may need to be placed in the front seat when no adult is available to sit in the rear seat with the child. If the child is placed in the front seat, the automatic air bag should be disabled.

C. Definitions

- **Booster Seat** – A seat used for a child during transportation that lifts the child by several inches, designed for use with an adult seat belt.
- **Car Safety Seat (CSS)** – A portable seat for a person weighing under 80 pounds that attaches to an automobile seat and holds the person safely.
- **Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard 213** – FMVSS No. 213 requires child restraint systems (CRSs) to be equipped with attachments that enable the CRS to attach to the vehicle's restraint anchorage system. The agency added a height

The MEDICAL Policy Statement detailed above has received due consideration as defined in the MEDICAL Policy Statement Policy and is approved.

provision to make the new standard's applicability clear to booster seat manufacturers who choose not to label their restraints with a weight.

- **National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA)** – A division of the U.S. Department of Transportation dedicated to achieving the highest standards of excellence in motor vehicle and highway safety.
- **Neck Loading** – The dynamic loading of the neck that occurs when the torso is suddenly stopped by the seat belt while the head continues pulling from the neck.
- **Person Centered Service Plan (PCSP)** – A written plan that provides information and support directing the process of care for a member to the maximum extent possible and enabling informed choices and decisions, reflecting services and supports to meet needs identified through an assessment of functional need, both paid and unpaid, to achieve identified goals, and identifying the providers of those services and supports, including natural supports.
- **Neck Loading** – The dynamic loading of the neck that occurs when the torso is suddenly stopped by the seat belt while the head continues pulling from the neck.
- **Travel Vest** – Optimizes the existing vehicle seat belt system to protect the child by keeping a low center of gravity and allowing the vehicle seat belt and seat cushion to manage the crash forces.

D. Policy

- I. CareSource considers a special needs car seat a medically necessary when **ALL** the following clinical criteria are met:
 - A. The car seat is a restraint system that meets National Highway Traffic Safety Administration Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS) 213.
 - B. The car restraint system is not modified or used in a manner other than that specified by the manufacturer unless the modified restraint system has been crash tested and has met all applicable FMVSS's approved by the NHTSA.
 - C. The special needs car seat is the most cost-effective option while still addressing the medical/functional needs of the member.
 - D. The safety and effectiveness of the special needs car seat has been substantiated by current evidence-based national, state, and peer-reviewed medical guidelines.
 - E. A physician order is required for coverage of a special needs car seat.
 - F. A person-centered service plan is required.
 - G. An invoice for the equipment is required.
 - H. The length or weight limits of a conventional CRS with an internal 5-point harness has been outgrown and at least one of the following criteria is met.
 1. The member has an airway obstruction due to one or more of the following (not an all-inclusive list):
 - a. hypotonia
 - b. craniofacial abnormalities
 - c. primary airway problems
 2. The member has a physical condition that prevents the independent maintenance of a seated position or requires support to allow a functional position or prevent further disability.

The MEDICAL Policy Statement detailed above has received due consideration as defined in the MEDICAL Policy Statement Policy and is approved.

3. The member has gastrointestinal issues, including but not limited to:
 - a. emesis
 - b. gastroesophageal reflux (GERD)
 - c. gastrostomy feeding tube
4. The member has a spica cast.

II. Persons with a tracheostomy tube should not use a CRS with a harness or seat belts that could dislodge the tube. It is strongly recommended that an occupational therapist or passenger safety technician with training and experience in the safe transportation of persons with special needs provide guidance for appropriate equipment selection and use.

- III. A special needs car seat will not be considered medical necessary for any of the following:
- A. The special needs car seat is a more recent advancement in technology when the member's current special needs car seat can meet the member's basic medical/functional needs.
 - B. The special needs car seat is considered investigational, experimental, or has unproven medical indications for use.

IV. The special needs car seat must be billed under HCPCS code T2028.

E. Conditions of Coverage
N/A

F. Related Policies/Rules
N/A

G. Review/Revision History

	DATE	ACTION
Date Issued	03/15/2023	New policy. Approved at Committee.
Date Revised	02/28/2024	Annual review: editorial changes, updated car seats definition, added D.I.C-D., D.I.E.2, and D.II-III., and updated the references. Approved at Committee.
Date Effective	06/01/2024	
Date Archived	04/30/2025	This Policy is no longer active and has been archived. Please note that there could be other Policies that may have some of the same rules incorporated and CareSource reserves the right to follow CMS/State/NCCI guidelines without a formal documented Policy.

H. References

1. Adams AJ, Johnson MA, Ryan KA, et al. Safe transportation in-spica following surgical treatment of infantile DDH: solutions and threats. *J Pediatr Orop*. 2019;39(7):e488-e493. doi:10.1097/BPO.0000000000001317
2. Angsupaisal M, Maathuis CGB, Hadders-Algra M. Adaptive seating systems in children with severe palsy across International Classification of Functioning,

The MEDICAL Policy Statement detailed above has received due consideration as defined in the MEDICAL Policy Statement Policy and is approved.

- Disability and Health for Children and Young version domains: a systematic review. *Dev Med Child Neurol.* 2015;57(10):919-930. doi:10.1111/dmcn.12762
3. Car seats and booster seats. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. Accessed February 16, 2024. www.nhtsa.gov
 4. Car seat safety. National Child Passenger Safety Board. Accessed February 16, 2024. www.cpsboard.org
 5. Huang PP, Durbin DR. Promoting safety in children with disabilities. UpToDate. Updated January 4, 2024. Accessed February 16, 2024. www.uptodate.com
 6. Inthachom R, Prasertsukdee S, Ryan SE, et al. Evaluation of the multidimensional effects of adaptive seating interventions for young children with non-ambulatory cerebral palsy. *Disabil Rehabil Assist Technol.* 2021;16(7):780-788. doi:10.1080/17483107.2020.1731613
 7. Legare JM, Adam MP, Feldman J, et al. *Achondroplasia*. GeneReviews; 2023. Revised May 11, 2023. Accessed February 16, 2024. www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
 8. O'Neil J, Hoffman B, American Academy of Pediatrics Council on Injury, Violence, and Poison. Transporting Children with Special Health Needs. *Pediatrics.* 2019;143(5):e20190724. doi:10.1542/peds.2019-0724
 9. Rigby P, Ryan S, Campbell K. Effect of adaptive seating devices on the activity performance of children with cerebral palsy. *Arch Phy Med Rehabil.* 2009;90(8):1389-1395. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2009.02.013
 10. Ryan SE. Lessons learned from studying the functional impact of adaptive seating interventions for children with cerebral palsy. *Dev Med Child Neurol.* 2016;58(4):78 - 82. doi:10.1111/dmcn.13046
 11. Smith VC, Stewart J. Discharge planning for high-risk newborns. UpToDate. Updated April 10, 2023. Accessed February 16, 2024. www.uptodate.com
 12. Vives-Torres CM, Valdamo M, Jimenez-Octavio JR, et al. Comparison of upper neck loading in young adult and elderly volunteers during low speed frontal impacts. *Frontiers Bioeng Biotechnol.* 2021;9:682974. doi:10.3389/fbioe.2021.682974

Independent medical review – 02/15/2023